



BELLINGHAM PLANNING BOARD

10 MECHANIC STREET
BELLINGHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02019
(508) 657-2892; FAX (508) 966-4425
PlanningBoard@bellinghamma.org

Meeting Minutes January 12, 2017

MEETING LOCATION: ARCAND MEETING ROOM – MUNICIPAL CENTER

Present at the Meeting

Brian T. Salisbury (BTS), Chairman
William F. O'Connell Jr. (WFO), Vice Chairman
Peter C. Pappas (PCP), Secretary
Dennis J. Trebino (DJT), Member
Bruce W. Lord (BWL), Member
Nikyda Resto (NR), Alternate

Other Officials:

James S. Kupfer (JSK), Town Planner and Zoning Compliance Officer
Jean Keyes (JK), Planning Board Coordinator
Jay Talerman (JT), Town Counsel

BTS opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

7:00 p.m.

Hartford Village II, Hixon Street, Continued Public Hearing, Development Plan, Stormwater Management Permit, Special Permit for Special Residential Use – Multi-Family Dwellings. Decision Deadlines: 2/28/17, 2/28/17.

JSK explained the status of the project and the site walk on 1/7/17

Present: Joe Antonellis, Attorney for the Applicant, J.P. Connolly of Andrews Survey & Engineering, Police Safety Officer Sergeant Lee Rolls

Attorney Antonellis explained that the Applicant is not looking for any definitive determinations tonight. He will be meeting with the Trustees of Hartford Village I Condo Association within the next two weeks to discuss the plan and the possible modification. Attorney Antonellis explained that he is here to vet several issues with the Board so that he can discuss them with the Condo Association and then submit the application for a modification.

Mr. Connolly explained the plan which shows a cul-de-sac that replaced the hammerhead turnaround. This was redesigned at the request of Deputy Fire Chief Poirier; however, the changes restrict snow storage on the cul-de-sac. They are working on grading and drainage and if the site layout is validated, they can move forward with the modification. In addition, Mr. Connolly explained that the town's peer reviewer, PSC will have to review all the changes.

Several Board members requested that the Applicant remove two units and combine two buildings into one to move it further away from the northern property line. Mr. Connolly explained that the Applicant does not want to bring the buildings closer together as they want to provide a small backyard and they do not want to limit access to the back of the units by the Fire Department. Mr. Connolly stated that the Applicant can mitigate the visual impact through the use of screening and landscaping. Attorney

Antonellis responded that the number of units is important for development reasons, but the Applicant can address the screening which can be developed as they move forward with Planning Board review.

Sergeant Rolls explained that he has spoken with the Deputy Fire Chief Poirier and he is in preliminary agreement with the Applicant to move the light pole at the corner of Hartford Ave and Hixon Street. Also, Sergeant Rolls stated that Sergeant Rolls does want a cul-de-sac and not a hammerhead turnaround. Mr. Connolly responded that the Applicant has agreed to move the light pole and understands that National Grid may take a long time to do so. Attorney Antonellis stated that the Applicant would be looking for a Condition in the Decision that allows them to move forward with construction while waiting for National Grid to move the pole. In addition, the Applicant can post a bond or surety to provide assurance that this will be completed. Finally, Attorney Antonellis suggested that language can be added to the Decision so that the Applicant should work with Deputy Poirier to coordinate the correct placement of the pole.

Public Questions:

Harry Hamjian of 35 Hixon Street stated that he appreciates the site walk taken by the Board members on the site. Currently the plan only has 50' between the buildings. He would like the have the Applicant connect two northern buildings. Mr. Hamjian also stated that any screening would not help because the height of the buildings will be 22'. Mr. Connolly responded that the Applicant will be able to screen the buildings and he will provide a perspective plan.

Lynn Hamjian of 35 Hixon Street stated that the current visual forward is to a small shed and is no comparison to looking at 22' high buildings. There is a difference between screening and moving buildings. She would like the buildings moved a little further back and thinks it would make a big difference.

Donna Moran of 24 Hixon Street would like to see the Board follow guidelines in the town concerning the buffer zone. Ms. Moran asked if the Applicant removed one building, would it make a difference to the permanent exit to Hixon Street. BTS responded that earlier discussion concluded that making this a permanent exit would create more of a problem on Hixon Street. Attorney Antonellis responded that Mr. Connolly spoke with Deputy Fire Chief Poirier and the Deputy would like it to be a gated emergency egress.

BTS: Motion to continue the public hearing for Hartford Village II, Hixon Street, Continued Public Hearing, Development Plan, Stormwater Management Permit, Special Permit for Special Residential Use – Multi-Family Dwellings to March 9, 2017 at 7:00 pm.

WFO: Second.

Discussion: None.

Vote: 5-0 Carried. (BTS, WFO, PCP, DJT, BWL)

Premier Equipment, Inc. 47 South Maple Street, Development Plan Review and Stormwater Management Permit, Continued Public Hearing. Decision Deadline: 11/30/16

Present: Attorney Joe Antonellis for the Applicant, Eric Bazzett of Heritage Design, Paul Roy of Premier Equipment, Inc.

JSK gave an overview of the project to date.

Attorney Antonellis stated that he has met with the Maplebrook Condo Association to discuss sound and visual abatements. The Applicant would like to put a fence along the southern border of the property which would be 640' long and a small section of fencing on the western border that is 60' long. All fencing would be 8' high solid vinyl fencing that is raised 2' off the ground. There will be no clearing other than minimal disturbance to install the fence. The Applicant would like to maintain the present status of berm and existing vegetation.

WFO questioned if anything has been done to mitigate the noise coming out of the garage doors and understands that this issue falls beyond the scope of this review. BTS responded that it is better to

resolve the issue now rather than in the future and asked if there is anything the Applicant can do. Mr. Bazett responded that there is an existing berm with trees and offered to plant more trees.

Mr. Bazett then went on to explain the new stormwater system for the proposed building. It meets all stormwater management. Requirements and the runoff will be captured through surface and grass swales. The roof material will be galvanized aluminum. The Board was concerned about fencing around the new basin and the Applicant agreed to install fencing.

BWL stated his concern about trucks driving into the drainage swales and breaking down the edges if they are not familiar with the area. BWL suggested that a barrier is needed and Mr. Roy agreed that he could install jersey barriers.

The Board questioned the lights on the back of the building and Mr. Roy explained that two lights on the back of the existing building have never worked and they still are not working. They have not added any new fixtures on the back. The new building will have lights and there will be no light spillage. JSK responded that this would be a compliance issue.

Questions and Comments from the Public:

Kimberly Bielan, Attorney for Maplebrook Condo Trust referred to her letter dated January 12, 2017 and apologized for the late submission. The main issues discussed were the removal of vegetation along the southern and western boundary, noise intrusion, light intrusion, excessive outdoor storage in a water resource district, and servicing of motor vehicles. The condo residents had not experienced sound and noise intrusions prior to the clearing of the vegetation. Attorney Bielan stated that it is her opinion that the Board cannot approve a project that has zoning violations and requested that the Board deny the application in contravention of the bylaws.

BWL responded that the water resource district is not over the whole property and the new building is in the front and the uses proposed by the Applicant are allowed and where the new building is located. Attorney Bielan replied that the whole property is being considered.

Attorney Antonellis stated that his client only saw Attorney Bielan's letter. Attorney Bielan represents a party that is an abutter to the project and believes that there are zoning violations. Attorney Antonellis states that the Planning Board is not the enforcement authority. Saying that they are motor vehicles does not make them motor vehicles. The vehicles that are on site do not fit the definition of a motor vehicle. Attorney Antonellis stated that Attorney Bielan is referencing Meeting Minutes in her letter concerning the vegetated buffer and not any Decision. If the Board agrees that the fence is a reasonable attempt to provide a sound and light barrier, then Condition the Decision.

The Board asked JT about their jurisdiction: should they be correcting possible zoning violations or just reviewing the development plan. JT responded that he will have to discuss with JSK about jurisdiction and see what authority the Board has. This will take a very short period of time.

WFO: Motion to continue the public hearing for the Premier Equipment, Inc. 47 South Maple Street, Development Plan Review and Stormwater Management Permit to January 26, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.

PCP: Second.

Discussion: None.

Vote: 5-0 Carried. (BTS, WFO, PCP, DJT, BWL)

160 High Street Lot 1 & Lot 2 Development Plan Review, Stormwater Management Permit, Major Business Complex Special Permit, Flexible Parking Special Permit, Scenic Road Special Permit, 160 High Street, Continued Public Hearing, Decision Deadline: 1/16/2017

Present: David Kelly of Kelly Engineering Group, Inc. Mark Pilotte of Campanelli, Rob DeMarco of Campanelli, Molly Kelly of Campanelli, Attorney Rob Fitzpatrick of WilmerHale

JSK read the names of the public comments submitted as listed in the Public Comments Received listing below. JSK gave an explanation of revised documents received from the Applicant and the updates made to the plan by the Applicant.

Mr. Kelly explained the revised "Final Plan revised 1/5/17." The size of the building on Lot 2 was reduced to 300K square feet and was moved further north. The trailer storage area on Lot 2 was reduced. The Applicant's sound engineer, Tech Environmental evaluated the revised site plan for noise mitigation and concluded that the only noise berm needed would be at the rear of Lot 1. This would bring the plan in full compliance with the Town's noise bylaw. Mr. Kelly further stated that he understands that the sound study was reviewed by CavanaughTocci, the Town's peer reviewer and they agreed with the conclusion reached by Tech Environmental.

BTS asked several questions:

1. Where is the trailer storage on Lot 2: Mr. Kelly responded that it is on the side of and behind behind the building.
2. What is the distance between Route 495 and the back of building: Mr. Kelly responded that it is about 250'.
3. How has the Limit of Clearing changed: Mr. Kelly responded that it will be pulled in quite a bit and will be much further from the wetlands.
4. Can the visuals from Route 495 be evaluated post construction and additional screening be added later: Mr. Kelly responded that there is a grade difference behind the building to Route 495.
5. Is the emergency access gravel path to Maple Street necessary: Mr. Kelly responded that it is not necessary as there is already an emergency access to High Street. All Board members agreed that the Maple St emergency egress could be removed.

Public Comments and Questions:

BTS asked the public to limit comments to this new plan as the Board has heard their concerns about all other aspects of the project.

Erin Bengiovanni of 346 Maple Street described a traffic incident on Maple Street that was referenced in her email dated 1/11/17 that the Board received. GPS takes people that way (Southbound from Route 126) and it is not safe. This has happened multiple times and she is not sure the Board is understanding this.

Mike Jensen of 43 Chestnut Street has lived in town for 35 years and sold all the tudor-style homes on Maple Street. Maple Street was a country road but no longer. There is traffic from the train station and, coming soon is the Franklin apartment complex. A house on Maple Street took 509 days to sell and this project is going to affect the value of the properties. Adding more traffic is a mistake. He is not opposed to business, but that much traffic and intensity on that street will affect home values. It will deter people from moving into town. People may want to move out of town. He loves this town, but it will affect value of homes. Mr. Jensen questioned what will the affect will be long term on Bellingham.

Don Martinis 334 Maple Street stated today that he is speaking today as the Vice Chairman of the Board of Selectmen and not as a resident of Bellingham. He believes that all Planning Board members did receive a letter from his wife (email dated January 11, 2017) with a link to the September 28, 2016 article that was published on the Dedham Wicked Local Website titled "Residents Upset Over Speeding, Other Problems with Amazon Drivers." Mr. Martinis stated that he first sent this to JSK when it was first published. Mr. Martinis thought that it was taken into consideration but it appears that it wasn't. So Mr. Martinis stated that he took it upon himself today to reach out to the Selectman that was quoted in that

article, Mr. Dennis Guilfoyle, Chairman of the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Martinis stated that he had a very good conversation with about the impact that building has had to the residents in Dedham. Mr. Martinis stated that Mr. Guilfoyle said that any Board member can call him and get his objective opinion on what the impacts have been to his town. Mr. Martinis stated that he would like to read the letter of quotes from Mr. Guilfoyle into the permanent record. Mr. Martinis read the following statement that included quote from Mr. Guilfoyle:

Martinis: Today I spoke with Dennis Guilfoyle, Chairman of the Board of Selectmen of Dedham, MA, with regards to new article 9/28/16 Dedham Transcript. The article and news report was about the negative impact from a 24/7 ecommerce distribution facility in a mixed zone of industrial and residential. Because the article was written five months ago, I asked Mr. Guilfoyle what the impact this business has had to local residents in the last five months because I really wanted to understand what has changed. But I also wanted to understand the impacts to the town as a whole and not just the local residents because I wanted to be fair. Guilfoyle: Today the business is a mix of tractor trailer deliveries coming from all over the country of approximately 200 deliveries daily. They arrive all hours of the day and night mainly for fulfilling orders. The building is open with several employees fulfilling orders throughout the night and loading up to 200 vans and trucks for deliveries. At about 7:00 am, the streets of Dedham are littered (Martinis: That's his exact quote. The letter from Mr. Guilfoyle actually used "littered like rats" but I didn't want to leave the word rats in.) with the vans and tractor trailers clogging up roadways, gas stations, and convenience stores. The additional traffic causes delivery trucks and tractor trailers to take side roads so they can get to Route 128 quicker. This causes added stress to local commuter and school traffic. There have been several accidents which have created increased demand on police, fire, and rescue throughout the town. Many of the employees, drivers, and pickers (Martinis: and this is a tough one for me to swallow guys, I'll say this, I didn't like what he said) are ex-convicts which has caused an increase in crimes and they have learned that the employer doesn't do background checks because they are trying to hire minimum wage, low-skilled employees to do this kind of work. (Martinis: I know, someone said this earlier in the year, but I personally believe in giving someone a second and even third chance.) Be careful what you wish for. (Martinis: In addition, Mr. Guilfoyle cited the noise from the site, the litter increase along the road, car alarms going off in the middle of the night, and cars and trucks driving much too fast for the residents.) Although the business is attempting to make changes, it has been very difficult to make the changes necessary to create a harmonious environment. (Martinis: This is something I want to point out, because it is important) Prior to this operation, there was a grocery distributor that had approximately 25 tractor trailer trips per day, no 24/7 operation, and all was well. (Martinis: similar to Victory, I have been happy with Victory - at first I was concerned.) But because the building was approved for 24/7 operation, they couldn't stop the change in use. So this new ecommerce distributor just one day showed up. (Martinis: and, like I heard earlier with Premier, things just change before your eyes. That really concerned me and it should concern the Board too. New tenants, no control over the business.) This isn't good for his community (Dedham) and suggested that Bellingham speak with Stoughton too. Even though Amazon is in an industrial park in Stoughton, a separate industrial park, they are having issues too. You just don't realize how a facility like this will impact your town until they are in your town. Numbers don't tell the truth, you have to experience to believe it.

Mr. Martinis: and before you say yes, I'm begging you, before you say 24/7, god-awful no, go down there and see what this operation is like, take a field trip, it isn't going to be good for this town. The money is great, as a Selectman, the tax revenue is fantastic, but the quality of life is going to be really, really bad. This really, really shook me to the core.

Stephen Kohler 26 Stonehedge Road asked about the sound study and is it factual and how to verify that the compliance is maintained. He can hear the noise from the Cobb property and is one mile away. BTS responded that the sound study has been vetted by our professional peer reviewer. Enforcement is done through zoning enforcements. JSK explained that enforcement would be done through several departments – zoning, building, etc. Mr. Kohler thanked the Board for its work and stated that they can't make everyone happy. If applicant is meeting the bylaw, the Board is almost hand-tied. How does any board authorize new construction to an infrastructure that is already overloaded.

Joe Roche of 100 Maple Street gave a synopsis of three letters submitted by Dr. Beth Rosenberg, Doug Brugge, Phd, and Dr. Robert Kelliher. BTS reminded the public that the Board has these letters and has read them. JSK stated that the public comments are not on the public website. The public is welcome to submit a public records request to obtain them.

Marcia Crooks of 425 Lake Street and Chairman of the Historical Commission. Ms. Crooks stated that just because the property is zoned industrial doesn't mean you have to put something on it. It is the individuals that the town that will be known by not the businesses. The residents should require a little bit of respect. She sees constant traffic on Lake Street going to Garelick, going to the train station, or to the shops in north Bellingham. Ms. Crooks quoted Thomas Jefferson, "People have three rights one of which is the pursuit of happiness." Ms. Crooks said that you are not going to make these people happy. This project will cost the Town money eventually. If the police department does not have enough officers for Lake St, it won't have enough for rest of town.

Cecile Barrett of 54 High Street stated that she would like to hear from police safety officer Sergeant Rolls about this project and the traffic on this street. She is worried about the traffic impact on the town. She was looking at a new house, but the Board does not seem worried about the impacts of this project. The Board is worried about other residents looking at the top of a house and the impact this would have on their life but this is health and safety. BTS explained that the Board has been reviewing this project for over one year and the Board has listened and has reduced the project. The Board is bound by their obligation to the bylaws and to fairness to evaluate the project. Don't assume that, regardless of the way this Board votes, we don't care. BTS stated that he is essentially volunteering for this Board and finds it offensive that she is accusing the Board of not caring. It is not true.

Sargent Rolls responded that he would love to make roads wider. There is too much traffic in town, roads are terrible. The town had mid-700 accidents last year and 226 accidents just on Hartford Ave last year. He has done a study of the Maple Street intersection and Hartford Ave. He does not know how to make roads better. The police have put up sign boards and have issued all kinds of tickets on Lake Street and High Street. Tickets are not the answer. Light cycles at Rapid Refill are 6-7 but until bigger roads are built the problem will continue. He has concerns about this project, but the applicant has significantly reduced the size of the project and this will result in a reduction in traffic.

Laly Viera of 60 High Street thanked Sargent Rolls for being here. She explained that she has had many altercations as a runner with traffic and five asphalt trucks on Maple Street and almost got hit by a truck. She filed a complaint with police. Sargent Rolls responded that an officer went to the scene and the trucks were gone. If trucks do park on Maple Street, the police will issue tickets, but it is not often that the police see them out there. Ms. Viera asked about the rolling safety sign on Maple Street that was supplied by Victory and commented that it is not being used on Maple Street and it should be. BTS reminded Ms. Viera that two speed check signs will be installed on Maple by the Applicant. Ms. Viera then confronted WFO about a personal situation he had in which he had to appear at a zoning board hearing. BTS responded that the issue to which she is referring is not relevant to this project. WFO responded to Ms. Viera and stated that there is no correlation between his personal situation and the review of this project.

Maalik Vill of 33 Pearl Street is concerned about the condition of Maple Street and that it is in disrepair. In addition, she stated that a portion of Maple Street cannot be widened because it is conservation land. Ms. Vill stated that there will be serious and heavy traffic on this road as it is a cut-through. Ms. Vill is concerned about safety on High Street as it is a very narrow road. BTS responded that all of these things have been considered and evaluated. All truck traffic will be exiting right and Maple Street will be widened a bit. BTS also stated that the town as a whole and not the Planning Board has to make the decision to fix Maple Street.

BWL stated that this project began with most of the Board opposed to it. But, over the months of review, the Board has worked with Applicant to improve a portion of Maple Street, to provide mitigation towards the improvement of the Route 140/Maple Street intersection. The 53-foot box trucks is new the the industry and the state allowed the use of these trucks. The Board is hoping that the improvements to the Route 140/Maple Street intersection will accommodate those trucks. The project is now half the size of what was originally presented. BWL explained that the Planning Board's purpose is to deal with planning

laws and the use of a property. If an Applicant meets the requirement of that use, the Board does not have the authority to turn down the project just because we feel like it. The Developer has other projects that are well run and operated and they have reacted to the comments from the public. They have done a good job in reacting to what the Board has asked. The property is zoned industrial and because the town never rezoned it, it is stuck with it.

Jim Jeschke of 56 High Street asked if since the Applicant reduced the size of building, is a special permit for parking reduction needed. BTS responded yes.

Art Paturzo of 10 Stonehedge stated that the character of the town is important. He would like the Board to limit the hours of operation

Jim Dunlea of 57 High Street stated that it is not appropriate to say that the residents will get used to 24/7 operation and the Board should not allow overnight operations. Mr. Dunlea stated that the latest noise study does not comply with scenic bylaw for impulsive noise. Mr. Dunlea further stated that the Board does not have authority to grant this variance. The project has deep safety impacts and has public health risks. Mr. Dunlea also stated that the project will be divisive for the community.

BWL and NR stated that they are having health issues and cannot continue much longer at this hearing.

PCP questioned the Applicant and asked why they chose this lot and location for the 900,000 square foot project in a residential area. PCP stated that over last two weeks, he has vetted this project with other projects Campanelli has built and the towns in which they are operating. These included the projects built within the last five years over 100,000 to 200,000 square feet. Every one is in an industrial area and not one has been put in an area like this one. Mr. DeMarco responded that PCP's statement is untrue and cited the example of the New England Ice Cream Distributorship in Norton, MA that abuts a residential area. PCP responded that he is talking about off-site impacts that move through residential areas. The Applicant has the ability to construct something else on this site such as apartment buildings or multi-family properties. PCP opinion is that the Applicant has the ability to build something other than a big box building. PCP stated that he believes the Applicant started with a 900,000 square foot facility knowing that they would end up at this size project. They are not just an organization that builds big box sites and PCP could not find one similar to this situation. It is not per se what is built on the site but it is the the impacts off the site. PCP does not like the 24/7 operation and trucks traveling on a narrow road. The tax revenue to the town will be offset by the drop in property values. PCP stated that he is a no vote on this project. The Applicant has been in front of the Board for a year and they have the option to build something else here and chose not to. BTS responded that this point is not germane to our decision. Mr. DeMarco responded that they were attracted to this site because it is zoned industrial. PCP responded that he understands that the Applicant has a right to develop the property but not to negatively impact the residents.

Attorney Fitzgerald stated that PCP made the suggestion that the development proposal put forward by the Applicant was strategically designed to reach a point where it could, later in the process, downsize the development to achieve the permitting goal and that is not correct. Attorney Fitzgerald stated that he can tell you that he has been to hearings on this project since September and Campanelli has tried to respect the thorough technical evaluation this Board has led the Applicant through, has responded to concerns that have been identified, tried to design the mitigation to address those concerns, and size the project appropriately given the concerns that have been addressed. That's how the Applicant got to 427,500 square feet.

BWL: Motion to close the public hearing for the 160 High Street Lot 1 & Lot 2 Development Plan Review, Stormwater Management Permit, Major Business Complex Special Permit, Flexible Parking Special Permit, and Scenic Road Permit, 160 High Street.

WFL: Second.

Discussion: None.

Vote: 6-0 Carried. (BTS, WFO, PCP, DJT, BWL, NR)

JT stated that the counsel for Campanelli has put a lot of thought into these decisions and he does not know if the Board, especially BWL and NR, will get through the discussion on all four permits tonight. JT stated that he is not trying to delay but thinks it is better to discuss these decisions further at the next meeting.

Mr. DeMarco expressed his concern that their tenant, Spears, is waiting to hear that this project can get built. JT responded that at this point it is up to the Board now and these issues must be discussed by the Board.

JSK explained that the public hearing has been closed. The Board has 90 days to have a decision ready. JT would like to walk the Board through all the outstanding issues at the next meeting.

Attorney Fitzpatrick questioned if he could speak with JT over the next two weeks about the outstanding items subject to the Board's review. The Board agreed that JT could work with Attorney Fitzpatrick and they will discuss all decisions at the meeting on January 26, 2017.

General Business:

- ☐ **ANR's**
- ☐ **As-Built Certifications**
- ☐ **12/22/16 Minutes Signing**

PCP: Motion to sign the December 22, 2016 Meeting Minutes.

WFO: Second.

Discussion: None.

Vote: 5-0 Carried. (BTS, WFO, PCP, DJT, BWL)

- ☐ **Voucher Report** – JSK explained the report

Due to illness, BWL and NR left the meeting at 9:40 p.m.

WFO: Motion to adjourn.

DJT: Second.

Discussion: None.

Vote: 4-0 Carried. (BTS, WFO, PCP, DJT)

Meeting Adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

Meeting Documents & Exhibits:

High Street Development Plan, Stormwater Management Permit, and Multiple Special Permits Review

1. Deputy Fire Chief Mark Poirier – Email with comments concerning emergency access on revised plan and paved roadways – 1.3.17
2. Deputy Fire Chief Mark Poirier – Email with comments concerning emergency access on revised plan and paved roadways – 1.4.17
3. Plan – 5th Submission and Comments from D. Kelly – 1.5.17
4. Plan – Fire Access Exhibits – Autoturn – 1.5.17
5. Plan – Landscaping for Entrance – 1.5.17
6. Sound Study – Revised Final Plan 12.23.16 & Acoustical Evaluation -1.5.17
7. Sound Study – CavanaughTocci Comments – 1.9.17

Public Comments Received:

1. Maryellen Gabrielle, 6 Stonehedge Road – Email dated December 2, 2016 with information about delivery appointment systems – 12.22.16
2. Paul Clarkin, 137 Lakeview Ave – Email with positive comments about the project
3. Jim Dunlea, 57 High Street – Email with suggestion for High Street signage – 12.27.16

4. Mike Kelley, 54 High Street – Email with comments about the project – 12.27.16
5. Qin Li, 54 High Street – Email with comments about the project – 12.28.16
6. Joe Roche, 100 Maple Street – Email with comments about the project – 12.28.16
7. Melissa Wildfong – Email with comments about the project – 12.29.16
8. Deanna Martinis, 334 Maple Street – Email with comments about the project – 12.30.16
9. Ismail Sezen, 1141 South Main Street – Email with comments about the project – 1.2.17
10. Chris Ryan, Esq., Bellingham Resident – Email with positive comments about the project – 1.4.17
11. Joe Bengiovanni, 346 Maple Street – Email with comments about the project – 1.9.17
12. Robert F. Kelliher M.D. – Letter dated 1.5.17 commenting about the project.
13. Doug Brugge, PhD, - Letter dated 1.10.17 commenting about the project.
14. Andrew Sarno, 59 High Street – Letter dated 1.10.17 commenting about the project
15. Stephanie L. - Letter dated 1.10.17 commenting about the project
16. Doug Porter, 3 Stonehedge Road – Email with comments about the project 1.10.17
17. Sowmya Nair, 170 Highland Street, Taunton, MA – Email with comments about the project 1.10.17
18. Sam Cowell, 489 Hartford Ave – Email with comments about the project 1.10.17
19. Patricia Keppler, 19 Puddingstone Lane - Email with comments about the project 1.10.17
20. Erin Bengiovanni, 346 Maple Street - Email with comments about the project 1.11.17
21. Deanna Martinis, 334 Maple Street – Email and newspaper article about the project 1.11.17
22. Ed and Elizabeth Wingert, 14 Fifth Ave – Email with comments about the project 1.11.17
23. Luke Lavalley, 383 Maple Street – Email with comments about the project 1.11.17
24. Maalik Vill, 33 Pearl Street – Email with comments about the project 1.11.17
25. Valeria Tobia, 1 Middle Ave – Email with comments about the project 1.12.17
26. Dr. Beth Rosenberg – Letter with comments about the project 1.10.17

Hartford Village II, Hixon Street, Development Plan, Stormwater Management Permit, Special Permit for Special Residential Use – Multi-Family Dwellings

Premier Equipment, Inc. Development Plan & Stormwater Management Permit Review

1. Plans – 4th Submission – 1.6.17
2. Letter from Attorney Bielan – 1.12.17

Minutes Accepted on: 1/26/2017
(Date)

Jean Keyes
(Prepared by: Jean Keyes)

Brian T. Salisbury
Brian T. Salisbury

William F. O'Connell Jr.
William F. O'Connell Jr.

Peter C. Pappas
Peter C. Pappas

Dennis J. Trebino
Dennis J. Trebino

Bruce W. Lord
Bruce W. Lord